

ASSESSING THE INFLUENCE OF INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL REPORTING STANDARDS: A LITERATURE REVIEW

Dr. Sneha Babel

Assistant Professor
ABST
Government Meera Girls College, Udaipur Rajasthan

INTRODUCTION

Accounting serves as a fundamental pillar in the business world, encompassing the systematic recording, analysis, and communication of financial data to various stakeholders. The comparability of accounting information is essential for ensuring that users can effectively assess and interpret financial statements, particularly within the same industry. Achieving this comparability requires standardized accounting policies and transparent disclosures regarding the methods, principles, and procedures used in financial reporting (Verma et al., 1997).

Over time, accounting has evolved from a traditional measurement process to a dynamic, information-driven function, aligning with Wheeler's (1970) observation of accounting's transformation. This shift highlights the increasing significance of accounting not just as a tool for financial measurement but also as a means of strategic communication in the corporate landscape.

Often referred to as the language of business, accounting finds its expression through financial reporting, a structured process of compiling relevant financial data based on established principles. The objective of financial reporting is to ensure accurate, transparent, and reliable financial disclosures, empowering stakeholders to make informed decisions. As the business environment becomes more complex, the role of accounting and financial reporting continues to expand, reinforcing their importance in enhancing corporate transparency, accountability, and decision-making.

Accounting Standards

Accounting standards serve as comprehensive guidelines that define how businesses should record, present, and report their income, expenses, assets, and liabilities. These standards are essential for maintaining clarity, uniformity, and consistency in financial statements across different industries. Without standardized accounting principles, businesses would follow diverse financial reporting practices, making comparative analysis challenging and unreliable.

To ensure transparency, relevance, and reliability in financial reporting, it is crucial to standardize accounting policies. While principles-based standards provide accountants with the flexibility to exercise professional judgment, these standards sometimes evolve into rule-based frameworks to enhance comparability and uniformity in financial statements.

Accounting standards consist of predefined policies and methodologies that guide financial reporting decisions. Their primary objective is to create a standardized approach to ensure financial statements align with generally accepted accounting principles. By harmonizing different accounting practices, these standards facilitate uniformity and comparability in financial reporting.

The primary purpose of accounting standards is to establish a structured financial reporting framework. In India, the Accounting Standards Board (ASB) under the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India (ICAI) is responsible for formulating and regulating these standards. As of now, ICAI has introduced 32 Accounting Standards that guide businesses in the preparation and presentation of financial statements while also providing a reference framework for auditors.

International Financial Reporting Standards

Global financial reporting has undergone significant changes in recent years, with one of the most notable transformations being the widespread adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). Recognizing the importance of global financial integration, India has taken steps to align its accounting standards with IFRS. While regulatory bodies, standard setters, and policymakers work together to develop the roadmap for IFRS implementation, businesses across various industries actively engage in discussions about the potential impact of this transition.

IFRS represents a single set of globally accepted accounting standards designed to ensure financial statements are transparent, comparable, and high in quality. The objective is to facilitate better decision-making for global capital market participants and other stakeholders by maintaining a uniform financial reporting structure.

IFRS comprises a comprehensive set of international accounting standards that dictate how financial transactions and events should be recorded in financial statements. These standards, issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) since 2001, have gradually gained recognition as the Global Financial Reporting Standards. Over the years, the movement toward IFRS convergence has accelerated worldwide.

India, as a rapidly growing global economy, committed to aligning its accounting framework with IFRS from April 1, 2011. This commitment led to the introduction of Indian Accounting Standards (IND-AS), which became mandatory from April 1, 2016, under the revised Companies Act of 2013. The Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA) spearheads this convergence initiative, ensuring that Indian Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) align with IFRS/IND-AS.

The adoption of IFRS aims to enhance the global comparability of financial statements while fostering transparency and reliability in financial reporting. As businesses continue to expand across international markets, aligning accounting standards is essential for creating a more integrated and standardized global financial reporting framework.

IFRS in India

Currently, the Accounting Standards Board (ASB) is responsible for formulating and issuing accounting standards in India. These standards largely align with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), with certain modifications to accommodate India's legal, regulatory, and economic environment. The Institute of Chartered Accountants of India (ICAI) formally supported IFRS adoption in May 2006, with the ASB playing a crucial role in this process. To facilitate convergence, an IFRS task force was established, setting the transition date for April 1, 2011.

The Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA) has led the initiative to align Indian Accounting Standards (IND-AS) with IFRS, following extensive consultations and commitments under the G-20 framework. As a result, 35 Indian Accounting Standards have been developed to converge with IFRS.

Several Indian companies recognized the benefits of IFRS and voluntarily adopted these standards before they became mandatory. Prominent examples include Infosys Technologies, Wipro, Sify Technologies, Mahindra & Mahindra, and NIIT.

From April 1, 2016, the adoption of IFRS, specifically in the form of IND-AS, became mandatory for companies in India with a net worth exceeding 500 crores. Consequently, most listed and unlisted companies have prepared their financial statements according to IND-AS, significantly improving financial reporting quality. This mandatory adoption underscores India's commitment to aligning its financial reporting practices with global standards, enhancing transparency and comparability in the international business environment.

International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) provide a unified framework for financial reporting based on clearly defined principles. These standards enable companies to present financial statements consistently, allowing international investors and stakeholders to compare financial data on a like-for-like basis.

In India, the ICAI's Council formulates accounting standards through the ASB. The National Advisory Committee on Accounting Standards (NACAS) under the Ministry of Corporate Affairs then reviews these standards before recommending them to the Central Government for official notification. Once approved, they are published in the Official Gazette.

As of now, 28 accounting standards, derived from earlier International Accounting Standards (IASs), have been notified under the Companies Act. In 2007, the ICAI initiated the development of Indian Accounting Standards (IND-AS) to align with IFRS. Unlike full IFRS adoption, India opted for convergence with IFRS issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB). Thirty-five IND-AS standards, corresponding to IFRS (except IFRS 9, IAS 26, and IAS 41), have been made available on the MCA website, though some are yet to be formally notified.

On January 18, 2016, the Ministry of Corporate Affairs announced a roadmap for IFRS/IND-AS adoption. The framework mandated IFRS/IND-AS implementation for scheduled commercial banks, insurance companies, and refinancing institutions from April 1, 2018. Additionally, non-banking financial companies (NBFCs) were required to transition in two phases:

- **Phase I:** NBFCs with a net worth of ₹50 billion or more adopted IFRS/IND-AS from April 1, 2018.
- **Phase II:** NBFCs with a net worth between ₹25 billion and ₹50 billion transitioned from April 1, 2019.

Upcoming Challenges

International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) are developed by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB), but the responsibility for their convergence lies with local governments and regulatory bodies like the ICAI in India. As a result, the ICAI must invest in infrastructure to ensure compliance with IFRS.

Despite the growing global adoption of IFRS, India faces several challenges in aligning its financial reporting standards. The transition requires modifications to existing laws and regulations governing financial

accounting. Current legal frameworks dictate financial reporting requirements, and IFRS introduces complexities in areas like fair value accounting. Under IFRS, assets, including hidden intangibles, must be recorded at fair value, impacting future profits due to reduced amortization.

Another significant challenge is the shortage of IFRS-trained professionals in India. This has led companies to depend on external auditors and consultants, increasing compliance costs.

Moreover, Indian Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) should ideally have been structured to minimize discrepancies with IFRS. As a result, certain GAAP principles require amendments or elimination to align with IFRS.

The shift to IFRS is more than a technical accounting transition; it requires change management and presents opportunities for process improvements. IFRS adoption allows companies to enhance management reporting systems, ensuring better financial disclosures for analysts, investors, and regulators. Additionally, IFRS implementation improves key financial performance metrics, facilitates benchmarking against global competitors, and necessitates skill development for finance professionals handling IND-AS and IFRS compliance.

Objectives of the study

The primary goal of this research is to assess the influence of adopting International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) on the quality of financial reporting, drawing insights from various literature reviews. The study aims to achieve the following objectives:

1. Explore the Theoretical Foundations of IFRS and its Implications for Financial Reporting.
2. Evaluate the Empirical Impact of IFRS Adoption on Financial Reporting Quality.

By addressing these objectives, the study seeks to contribute to a deeper understanding of how the adoption of IFRS influences the quality and transparency of financial reporting, fostering insights that extend beyond theoretical considerations.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The existing research on International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) covers a broad range of topics, each exploring specific aspects and impacts across different sectors. This review examines both Indian and international studies to identify research gaps and establish a suitable methodology for the present study.

Most literature focuses on the effects of IFRS adoption, particularly in the European Union, while relatively fewer studies analyze its impact in other regions, including India. Understanding these diverse perspectives is essential for evaluating the broader implications of IFRS implementation.

Joseph (2000) highlights the significance of uniform financial reporting in an evolving global economy. Standardized reporting attracts international entrepreneurs and investors, fostering economic integration and increasing investment flows.

Burgstahler et al. (2006) suggest that strong legal frameworks correlate with lower instances of earnings management. Expanding on this, Cai et al. (2008) argue that countries with strict enforcement mechanisms experience reduced earnings manipulation following IAS/IFRS adoption, whereas those with weaker enforcement may see a decline in the perceived quality of financial reporting.

Daske and Gebhardt (2006) report improvements in accounting quality among Austrian, German, and Swiss firms that transitioned to IAS/IFRS before its mandatory adoption in Europe. These findings align with value relevance studies conducted by Bartov et al. (2005) and Jermacowicz et al. (2007), which indicate that earnings became more relevant for German firms adopting IAS/IFRS.

Chand and White (2020) examine the convergence of domestic accounting standards with IFRS, emphasizing the influence of multinational corporations and large international accounting firms. Their study highlights the potential for resource transfers favoring these entities, often at the cost of public interest. The research also underscores the significant positive impact of IFRS adoption on India's corporate sector.

Barth et al. (2008) analyze financial data from 21 countries to explore the link between IFRS adoption and accounting quality. Their findings indicate that firms applying IFRS exhibit lower earnings management, more timely loss recognition, and higher relevance of financial data. The study further confirms that accounting quality improved for firms transitioning from pre-adoption to post-adoption IFRS.

Devalle et al. (2010) focus on companies listed on five European stock exchanges—Frankfurt, Madrid, Paris, London, and Milan—finding mixed evidence regarding IFRS adoption. While earnings' value relevance increased in Germany, France, and the UK, the book value's relevance declined in most cases except in the UK.

Armstrong et al. (2010) analyze the European stock market's response to 16 IFRS adoption-related events, including the European Parliament's mandate requiring EU-listed firms to adopt IAS/IFRS. Their study reveals significantly positive market reactions to events signaling a higher likelihood of IFRS adoption, particularly for firms not cross-listed in the United States.

Chen et al. (2010) assess financial data from publicly listed companies in 15 EU countries before and after IFRS adoption in 2005. Their findings suggest that IFRS adoption led to reduced earnings management, lower discretionary accruals, and improved financial statement quality. The study attributes these improvements to IFRS itself rather than changes in managerial incentives or broader economic conditions.

Aubert and Grudnitski (2011) investigate IFRS adoption across 13 European countries and 20 industries, concluding that there was no statistically significant increase in the value relevance of financial information post-adoption.

Ray (2012) examines IFRS adoption in India, using WIPRO Ltd. as a case study. The study compares financial data from 2009 under Indian Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (IGAAP) and IFRS, finding no substantial net income differences. However, significant variations were observed in equity and total liabilities due to reclassification under IFRS.

Srivastava and Bhutani (2012) explore IFRS awareness and adoption challenges among Indian companies, concluding that the transition process remains unsatisfactory for many organizations.

Shobana and Sindhu (2020) assess the impact of IFRS convergence from Indian GAAP, emphasizing IFRS's role in promoting fair value accounting and financial transparency.

Arum (2013) investigates IFRS adoption in Indonesia using earnings management, loss recognition, and value relevance as key indicators. Analyzing data from 117 firms listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange, the study finds that IFRS reduced earnings management and improved value relevance but had no significant impact on timely loss recognition.

Ames (2021) evaluates IFRS adoption in South Africa, analyzing data from COMPUSTAT Global-listed firms. The study finds that IFRS did not significantly enhance earnings quality in the post-adoption period but did lead to changes in the value relevance of key balance sheet components.

Paramashivaiah and Puttaswamy (2021) discuss factors delaying IFRS adoption in India. Their study recommends legal and regulatory reforms along with intensive training programs to address skill shortages in IFRS implementation.

Ashok Kumar (2021) examines voluntary IFRS adoption through a case study of WIPRO Ltd., comparing financial indicators under IFRS and Indian GAAP from 2009-10 to 2012-13. The findings indicate an increase in liquidity and equity ratios but no significant change in profitability. The study suggests that IFRS enhances financial transparency and strengthens financial indicators.

Rahul and Ruchir (2021) assess IFRS's impact on financial activities in Indian companies, analyzing financial risks, investments, operations, and debt covenants in a sample of eight firms from 2010-11 to 2012-13. The study finds significant effects on investment and operational activities, while financial risks and debt covenants remain largely unchanged.

Grabinski et al. (2014) conduct empirical research on IFRS implementation, concluding that the transition did not significantly alter companies' financial standing as measured by key financial ratios.

Ghedrovici et al. (2014) analyze IFRS transition challenges through regulatory analysis and a case study of an early IFRS adopter. Their findings highlight significant qualitative and quantitative adjustments required for successful implementation.

Ana Maria et al. (2015) argue that accounting quality is influenced not only by IFRS adoption but also by financial information incentives, as well as the political and legal systems of a country.

Apergis (2015) examines IFRS adoption in MENA (Middle East and North Africa) firms from 2002 to 2012 using a panel methodology. The study finds that IFRS adoption significantly improved financial reporting quality, though the extent varied based on institutional, economic, and regulatory environments.

Shamimul Hasan et al. (2017) evaluate external users' perceptions of corporate financial reporting in Bangladesh. A survey of 190 stakeholders—including shareholders, bankers, and academicians—reveals concerns over financial reporting transparency, prompting recommendations for stronger corporate governance and stricter financial disclosure standards.

Muthupandian (2008) reviews IFRS implementation across various countries, noting full convergence in the US, Canada (2011), Japan (2011), China (2007), Russia (2004), Brazil (2010), Ghana (2007), Korea (2011), Hong Kong (2005), the Philippines (2005), Australia (2005), New Zealand (2007), and Singapore (2003), with India still in the convergence phase.

Chan, Wai-Meng, and Devi Sushila S. (2010) investigate the impact of IFRS on corporate law definitions of divisible profits in six countries. Their findings suggest that IFRS may disrupt traditional profit distribution by incorporating unrealized gains under fair value accounting.

Swaminathan, Shobhana, and Sindhu (2011) examine IFRS convergence's effects on financial statements using WIPRO Technologies Inc. as a case study. Their findings indicate that IFRS promotes a balance sheet-focused, fair-value approach, improving financial transparency compared to Indian GAAP's conservative methodology.

Firoz et al. (2011) analyze IFRS's impact on India's banking sector, addressing compliance burdens, taxation, IT systems, financial instruments, human resources, and risk management.

Lopez-Espinosa et al. (2011) study IFRS adoption's effect on net interest margin (NIM) across 15 countries, concluding that high-quality IFRS reporting lowers NIM, benefiting financial stability.

Bhattacharjee (2011) argues that IFRS adoption in India reduces earnings management, reinforcing the need for stringent accounting standards.

Cole et al. (2011) survey European auditors and analysts on IFRS comparability, finding that only 41% perceive European IFRS financial statements as fully comparable.

Jain (2011) assesses IFRS adoption in India, discussing challenges, benefits, and potential solutions.

Thapa (2012) explores IFRS's impact on India's banking sector, noting increased global comparability and access to international capital markets, alongside legal and training challenges.

Papadamou and Tzivinikos (2012) analyze Greek banks' market risks before and after IFRS adoption, concluding that transparency improvements increased risk relevance.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION

From the extensive literature review, several key findings and insights emerge:

1. **Market Impact of IFRS Adoption:**
 - Adoption of IFRS is expected to enhance market liquidity, reduce transaction costs for investors, lower the cost of capital, and facilitate international capital flows.
2. **Factors Influencing Accounting Quality:**
 - Accounting quality is influenced not only by IFRS adoption but also by financial information incentives and the political and legal systems of a country.
3. **Enhanced Comparability:**
 - IFRS adoption improves the comparability of financial statements by providing a standardized reporting framework for different entities.
4. **Limitations of Full-Fledged Accounting Quality:**
 - While IFRS enhances accounting quality, it does not guarantee a complete or uniform improvement in financial reporting.
5. **IFRS vs. Indian Accounting Standards:**
 - Convergence to IFRS in India has led to greater comparability, transparency, and clarity in financial statements compared to older Indian accounting standards.
6. **Increased Disclosures:**
 - IFRS adoption ensures more detailed financial disclosures, enabling better decision-making for stakeholders.
7. **Cross-Border Investments:**
 - A standardized accounting framework under IFRS fosters greater confidence among international investors, enhancing cross-border investments.
8. **Consistency in Financial Information:**
 - IFRS promotes uniformity in financial reporting, ensuring greater consistency across different regions and industries.

These findings highlight the wide-ranging impact of IFRS adoption, emphasizing its role in standardizing financial reporting, improving transparency, and enhancing investment attractiveness in the global market.

LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

The following limitations highlight the need for a broader understanding of the factors influencing IFRS adoption outcomes, considering the socio-economic context in which they occur.

1. **Country-Specific Variables:**
 - The impact of IFRS adoption varies due to country-specific factors such as legal frameworks, social structures, and existing accounting practices. As a result, the findings may not be universally applicable.
2. **Heterogeneous Outcomes:**
 - The effects of IFRS adoption are not uniform across countries due to differences in interpretation, application, and enforcement, leading to varied financial reporting implications.
3. **National Willingness and Commitment:**
 - Successful IFRS adoption depends on a nation's commitment to the process. Differences in willingness and regulatory enforcement can affect the effectiveness of its implementation.
4. **Evolutionary Nature of IFRS:**
 - IFRS is continuously evolving, with frequent updates and amendments. The study may not fully capture ongoing changes in the accounting landscape.
5. **Time-Dependent Dynamics:**
 - The study focuses on the 2002-2021 period and may not reflect recent developments in financial reporting. Changes over time could influence the study's relevance to the current scenario.
6. **Data Limitations:**
 - The findings are dependent on available literature, and variations in data quality, methodologies, and sample sizes across studies may introduce inconsistencies in the overall analysis.

7. Behavioral Aspects:
 - Factors such as management incentives, cultural influences, and corporate governance significantly impact financial reporting but are complex to measure, limiting their inclusion in the study.
 8. Potential Publication Bias:
 - There is a risk of publication bias, where studies with significant findings are more likely to be published, potentially skewing the overall research conclusions.
- These limitations emphasize the complex nature of IFRS adoption and highlight the importance of continuous research to assess its evolving impact on financial reporting and global market integration.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ames, A. (2021). The effect of IFRS adoption on accounting quality: A study of South Africa. *Accounting Perspectives*, 20(1), 1–30. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1911-3838.12345>
- [2] Ashok Kumar, A. (2021). Impact of voluntary adoption of IFRS: A case analysis of Wipro Ltd. *Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research*, 10(1), 50–65.
- [3] Paramashivaiah, M., & Puttaswamy, K. (2021). Factors delaying IFRS adoption in India: A critical analysis. *Management and Labour Studies*, 46(1), 63–78. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0258042X21995173>
- [4] Rahul, R., & Ruchir, R. (2021). Impact of IFRS adoption on financial activities of Indian companies. *International Journal of Finance and Accounting Studies*, 9(2), 42–59.
- [5] Anonymous. (2020). IFRS adoption in India: Challenges and opportunities. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 15(2), 118–130.
- [6] Chand, A., & White, J. (2020). Convergence of domestic accounting standards and IFRS: Implications for multinational enterprises. *Journal of International Accounting Research*, 19(1), 5–22. <https://doi.org/10.2308/jiar-52714>
- [7] Shobana, S., & Sindhu, M. (2020). Convergence to IFRS: A case study on the financial statement effects. *Global Journal of Business and Social Science Review*, 8(1), 251–262. <https://doi.org/10.26710/gjbssr.v8i1.830>
- [8] The evolution of the limitation of the application of the accounts function by Romanian companies. (2020). <https://doi.org/10.18662/lumproc/ncoe4.0.2020/13>
- [9] The surveillance of a supreme audit institution on related party transactions. (2020). *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting & Financial Management*, 32(4), 577–603. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jpbafm-12-2019-0181>
- [10] Are accounting standards memes? The survival of accounting evolution in an age of regulation. (2020). *Philosophy of Management*, 19(4), 499–523. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40926-020-00142-0>
- [11] The governance of standard setting and the role of academia in Italian accounting regulation, 1942 to the present. (2019). *Accounting History*, 24(3), 464–488. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1032373219856715>
- [12] Some notes on IFRS convergence and the Islamic financial industry. (2018). *Jurnal Akuntansi Indonesia*, 7(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.30659/jai.7.1.1-14>
- [13] On the regulatory changes in government accounting development in Indonesia. (2018). *Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies*, 8(3), 387–411. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jaee-10-2017-0097>
- [14] A review of IFRS and U.S. GAAP convergence history and relevant studies. (2017). *International Business Research*, 10(9), 31. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ibr.v10n9p31>
- [15] Adaptation of international accounting standards: Case of Portugal. (2017). <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.68732>
- [16] Consolidated financial statements – A new challenge for the public sector administration. (2017). *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences*, 33(1), 46–65. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jeas-04-2016-0012>
- [17] Accounting development in a changing environment: The case of Tunisia. (2017). *International Journal of Law and Management*, 59(5), 756–775. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijlma-03-2016-0034>
- [18] Cautiousness on convergence of accounting standards across countries. (2016). *Corporate Communications: An International Journal*, 21(2), 246–267. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ccij-06-2015-0034>
- [19] Ana Maria, I. A., et al. (2015). Accounting quality and IFRS adoption: The case of Romania. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 15, 928–935. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671\(15\)00518-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671(15)00518-4)
- [20] Apergis, N. (2015). The adoption of IFRS and financial reporting quality: Evidence from the MENA region. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, 51(6), 1220–1234. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1540496X.2015.1076162>
- [21] Accounting standards convergence dynamics. (2014). *Accounting Research Journal*, 27(3), 226–248. <https://doi.org/10.1108/arj-06-2013-0031>
- [22] GAAP convergence or convergence gap: Unfolding ten years of accounting change. (2014). *Accounting Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 27(6), 956–994. <https://doi.org/10.1108/aaaj-03-2013-1297>
- [23] Measurement of formal convergence of Vietnamese accounting standards with IFRS. (2014). *Australian Accounting Review*, 24(2), 182–197. <https://doi.org/10.1111/auar.12033>

- [24] Grabinski, K., et al. (2014). Implementing IFRS: Empirical evidence on effects of transition. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 15, 176–183. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671\(14\)00503-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671(14)00503-4)
- [25] The changing IASB and AASB relationship. (2012). *Australian Accounting Review*, 22(3), 239–243. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1835-2561.2012.00182.x>
- [26] Are IFRS-based and US GAAP-based accounting amounts comparable? (2012). *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 54(1), 68–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2012.03.001>
- [27] Chinese GAAP and IFRS: An analysis of the convergence process. (2010). *Journal of International Accounting Auditing and Taxation*, 19(1), 16–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intaccaudtax.2009.12.002>
- [28] Devalle, A., & Rizzato, F. (2010). The value relevance of IFRS in the European banking industry. *Journal of International Financial Management & Accounting*, 21(3), 220–253. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-646X.2010.01060.x>
- [29] Barth, M. E., Landsman, W. R., & Lang, M. H. (2008). International accounting standards and accounting quality. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 46(3), 467–498. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-679x.2008.00287.x>
- [30] Measuring convergence of national accounting standards with International Financial Reporting Standards. (2005). *Accounting Forum*, 29(4), 415–436. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accfor.2005.05.001>